

APPENDIX I.

[Vide answer to question No. 1548 asked by Mr. G. Rameswara Rao at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 5th March 1926, page 178 supra.]

Statement showing the action taken by Government so far as the Police Department is concerned on the recommendations of the Madras Retrenchment Committee that were reported to be under consideration at the time of the issue of G.O. No. 666, Finance, dated 11th September 1924.

Number of the chapter and paragraph of the Retrenchment Committee's report. (1)	Brief subject of the recommendation. (2)	Action taken. (3)	Financial effect in cases where orders have been issued.	
			Immediate. (4)	Ultimate. (5)
Chapter XV— Police— Paragraph 197.	<p>(2) Abolition of Personal Assistants to the Superintendents of Police.</p> <p>(3) (i) Reduction in the number of Inspectors and Sub-Inspectors by extending the jurisdictions of stations and other re-arrangements of work ; (ii) removal of inefficient Sub-Inspectors ;</p> <p>(iii) introduction of graded scale of pay to Sub-Inspectors ; and</p> <p>(iv) the raising of the percentage of head-constables to be promoted as Sub-Inspectors.</p>	<p>Detailed proposals have been approved in G.O. No. 634, Judicial (Police), dated 8th December 1924.</p> <p>(i) Vide items (5) and (6) below</p> <p>(ii) The Government have not accepted the proposal that Sub-Inspectors considered incompetent should be dispensed with on compensation or by giving other appointments. They have ordered that incompetence to justify a man's service being dispensed with must be established on framed charges and evidence after enquiry—Paragraph 3 of G.O. No. 441, Judicial (Police), dated 25th August 1924.</p> <p>(iii) The proposal was accepted by Government in G.O. No. 648, Judicial (Police), dated 11th December 1924.</p> <p>(iv) The Inspector-General of Police was against raising the percentage and the matter was not pursued—G.O. No. 441, Judicial (Police), dated 25th August 1924.</p>	Rs. ..	14,124 1,00,000

Statement showing the action taken by Government so far as the Police Department is concerned on the recommendations of the Maaras Retrenchment Committee that were reported to be under consideration at the time of the issue of G.O. No. 666, Finance, dated 11th September 1924—cont.

11

Number of the chapter and paragraph of the Retrenchment Committee's report. (1)	Brief subject of the recommendation. (2)	Action taken. (3)	Financial effect in cases where orders have been issued.	
			Immediate. (4)	Ultimate. (5)
Chapter XV— Police—cont. Paragraph 197 —cont.	(5) Number of out-posts to be reduced. (6) The number of constables to be reduced by 2,000. ..	<p>The Inspector-General of Police has so far submitted proposals for the re-allocation of Police in eighteen districts.</p> <p>Of these orders on the re-allocation of Police in the Tanjore district were issued in G.O. No. 665, Judicial, dated 23rd November 1925.</p> <p>Proposals in regard to the rest are still under consideration. The Inspector-General has stated that the probable effect of the re-allocation proposals on the strength of the Police force in the Presidency will be a net reduction of 1 Inspector (temporary), 56 Sergeants, 56 Sub-Inspectors, 404 head constables and 750 constables and an increase of 3 Inspectors and 48 talukiyaris (i.e.), a decrease in the expenditure of Rs. 3,45,100 per annum approximately. Exact cost will be known when revised proposition statements are received.</p>

5th March 1926]